

Indexical Shift with(-out) Role Shift: Evidence from Hong Kong Sign Language

Linghui Eva Gan **University of Connecticut**



1. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND: INDEXICAL SHIFT

- Indexicals: Context-dependent expressions, interpreted based on the context in which the sentence is uttered, including pronouns (*I*, *you*), locative indexicals (*here*), and temporal indexials (*today*, *now* and *tomorrow*) etc.
- **Indexical shift** is attested in **Quotation** & **Indirect reports**:

In **Quotations**: The indexicals necessarily shift to the context of the reported speech act.

 $[I = John; \neq Speaker]$ (1) a. John said: 'I am rich.'

b. John said: 'I am here!' [here = the location John, but not the Speaker, is at upon the utterance]

In **Indirect report**: Unlike English (2), in some languages like Zazaki (3), Amharic (4), and Korean, a.o., indexical shift is also possible. A sentence in a form like 'John said that I am rich' can mean John's self report of being rich.

(2) [English]

a. John said that I am rich.

b. John said that I was born here.

(3) [Zazaki] (Anand & Nevins, 2004)

(mɨ-ra) va kε εz_{i/k} dεwletia Hesen.obl (I.obl-to) said that I rich.be-pres 'Hesen said that {Hesen is, I am} rich.'

(4) [Amharic] (Schlenker, 2003)

john Jägna <mark>näNN</mark> yt-lall

John hero I-am says-3sg.m 'John says that he (John) is a hero.'

Zazaki & Amharic involves a Context-Shifting Operator $[\mathbf{Op_i} \, \mathbf{IP}]^{c, \, s, \, w} = \lambda x'_{e, \, \lambda} w'_{s, \, [\mathbf{IP}]^{c, \, s[i \rightarrow x'], \, w'}$

{näNN ≠ Speaker; = John; Obligatory Shift}

 $\{I = Speaker, \neq John;$

 $\{ \mathbf{\epsilon} \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{Speaker or Hesen} \}$

{here = location of the speech;

No Shift}

No Shift}

Optional Shift}

2. TWO ACCOUNTS OF ROLE SHIFT (RS) IN SIGN LANGUAGES

* Action RS: The RS that demonstrates the action of an individual (5a), often involves a Constructed Action (CA).



CA (playing-on-the-swing)

'The bird was like this (playing on the swing.)'

* Attitude RS: The RS that reports an individual's language expression or thoughts; lead by a matrix verb such as SAY and THINK (4b).



'Mom said: "I am busy.'

- Two Accounts of RS: Demonstration (quotation) vs. Indexical Shift Operator
- **Demonstration Account** (Davidson 2015): RS is demonstration: Action RS is a (body) classifier construction; Attitude RS is a quotation; both are subtypes of demonstration. Predictions: Indexicals under Attitude RS will obligatorily shift to the context of the reported discourse; Other quotation-like behaviors will also apply to Attitude RS, such as the banning of wh-extraction out of RS.
- ❖ Indexical Shift Operator (Schlenker 2017a, b): RS is a context-shifting operator. It is parameterized to account for cross-linguistic variations: indexicals under RS in ASL and LSF shift together (6a); but those in LSC and DGS can show mixed shifting ('mixed-indexicals') (6b). Prediction: Indexical shift under RS in HKSL will pattern with either ASL (no mixed indexicality) or LSC (allowing mixed-indexicality).

(6) a. IX-a JOHN THINK [IP IX-1 SIGN GOOD]

'John thinks: 'I sign well.'

b. ANNA; IX-3 3-TELL-2 [IP TWO-OF-US;+2 WIN AT-LAST]

'Anna told you that the two of you had won at last.'

[ASL, Schlenker, 2017a]

[LSC, Quer, 2011]

3. METHODOLOGY

- **Goal.** Examine the two accounts of Role Shift with HKSL data.
- **Research questions.** 1. Do indexicals under RS necessarily shift? 2. Can indexical shift occur without RS?
- Data Collection.
- Step 1. Translation task: By one signer; Written Chinese → HKSL; Indexicals tested: see Table 1; Two Conditions: a. Without RS (i.e., indirect report); b. With RS (i.e., Attitude RS)
- Step 2. Judgement tests of the play-back videos: Two signers; Judgement questions: a. Grammaticality (good/bad/so-so); b. Interpretation of the indexicals (shifted/non-shifted/both).

4. DATA

Table 1. Summary of indexical shift in reported signing in HKSL

a) without RS		b) with RS
signer A (s.A)	signer B (s.B)	b) with KS
optional (7a)	optional (7a)	obligatory (7b)
optional (12a)	none	obligatory
optional (8a)	optional (8a)	obligatory (8b)
obligatory (9a)	obligatory (9a)	obligatory (9b)
obligatory (10a)	none (10a)	obligatory (10b)
	signer A (s.A) optional (7a) optional (12a) optional (8a) obligatory (9a)	signer A (s.A) signer B (s.B) optional (7a) optional (7a) optional (12a) none optional (8a) optional (8a) obligatory (9a) obligatory (9a)

- (7) a. MOM_i SAY-2 IX- $\mathbf{1}_{i/i}$ BUSY 'Mom said that {she is, I am} busy.'
 - b. MOM_i SAY-2 IX-1_{i/*i} BUSY 'Mom said that {she is, #I am} busy.'
- (8) [Context: Aaron and Kenny are having a conversation in Hong Kong, Aaron said:] a. CONNIE_k IX-a MACAO_a STAY, IX-3 THINK IX-1_{k/i} FUTURE STAY HERE_{a/i} 'When Connie was in Macao, she thought that {she, I} will stay in {Macao, Hong Kong}.'
- b. CONNIE_k IX-a MACAO_a STAY, IX-3 THINK IX-1_{k/*i} FUTURE STAY HERE_{a/*i} 'When Connie was in Macao, she thought that {she, #I} will stay in {Macao, #Hong Kong}.'
- (9) [Utterance time: the day of the data collection (2020/11/12)]
- a. YEAR 2020 OCTOBER-FIRST, CONNIE GUESS TOMORROW LISA COME. 'On October 1st 2020, Connie guessed that Lisa would come on {2020/10/02, #2020/11/13}.'
- b. YEAR 2020 OCTOBER-FIRST, CONNIE GUESS TOMORROW LISA COME. 'On October 1st 2020, Connie guessed that Lisa would come on {2020/10/02, #2020/11/13}.
- (10) [Utterance time: the day of the data collection (2020/11/12).]
- a. YEAR 2020 OCTOBER-FIRST, CONNIE GUESS TODAY LISA COME
- 'On October 1st 2020, Connie guessed that Lisa would come on s.A: {2020/10/01, #2020/11/12}; s.B: {#2020/10/01, 2020/11/12}'
- b. YEAR 2020 OCTOBER-FIRST, CONNIE GUESS TODAY LISA COME
- 'On October 1st 2020, Connie guessed that Lisa would come on (2020/10/01, #2020/11/12).'

SELECTED REFERENCES

TOMORROW obligatorily shifts TODAY gets opposite judgements

Main observations:

The RS necessarily starts on the matrix verbs SAY, THINK, and GUESS. (c.f. ASL, Koulidobrova & Davidson, 2015)

With RS, all indexicals obligatorily shift

Without RS, some indexicals can also shift:

IX-1, WE-TWO, HERE optionally shift

5. ANALYSIS

- RS is quotation-like. With RS, the reported signing (dubbed as the counterpart of the term 'reported speech') shows quotation-like behaviors.
 - All indexicals shift (see Table 1);
 - Wh-extraction out of RS is banned (11).
- 'What did the bird playing on the swing feel is problematic?'
- IX-3 BIRD CA(PLAYING-ON-THE-SWING) FEEL PROBLEMATIC WHAT
- b. *IX-3 BIRD CA(PLAYING-ON-THE-SWING) FEEL PROBLEMATIC WHAT
- ☐ The 2nd person agreement marking of the verb can cause ambiguity between an indirect report and an (unmarked) quotation.
- (12) a. CONNIE, SAY-2 WE-TWO_{%i+2/i+2} FRIEND

'Connie said that {%Connie and her addressee, signer and his/her addressee} are friends.'

[% means conflicting judgments between the two s(igners): s.A- available; s.B- not available].

b. CONNIE, SAY-3 WE-TWO*1+2/1+2 FRIEND

'Connie said that {#Connie and her addressee, signer and his/her addressee} are friends.

The seemingly optional indexical shift of WE-TWO in (12a) is due to the ambiguity caused by the **2nd person agreement** of the matrix verb. Just as when **hearing** the speech utterance 'John said I am rich.', one can get both interpretations of (1a) and (2a), when seeing the signing of (12a), one can interpret it as a plain indirect report

(indexicals do not shift), or a quotation (indexicals must shift), i.e., a quote in mind but not marked by RS. When the agreement marking changes to 3rd person, the shifted reading is eliminated (12b).



□ TOMORROW should be interpreted as 'the next day' instead of an indexical, which explains its 'obligatory shift'. In narratives, TOMORROW is used to refer 'the next day' regardless of the tense.

- (13) TOMORROW MORNING, IX-a FROG ABSENT 'The next morning, the frog disappeared' (CSLDS, 2018, Frog_ad_WK:00:00:54)
- Some notes on SELF. Without RS, signers prefer to use SELF (body-anchored) over IX-1, which can stand alone in an embedded clause (14), but not in a matrix clause (15). This indicates that the embedded clause with SELF in (14) is not independent as a pure quotation would be.
- (14) a. MOM_i FEEL SELF_{i/*i} BUSY 'Mom felt that {she is, #I am} busy.'
- (15) *SELF BUSY. 'Intended: I'm busy.'
- b. MOM; FEEL SELF;/*; BUSY 'Mom felt that {she is, #I am} busy.'

Potential directions: SELF in HKSL might have several 'characters' as the long-distance reflexive ziji in Mandarin Chinese. One of the characters is logophor, in that it is not a true anaphor in the sense of Binding Theory (14a); Some cases with SELF might also involve a silent pronoun pro, a form of null argument: pro SELF (c.f. null argument in ASL, Lillo-Martin 1986).

Remaining questions. 1. The reasons for the cross-signer variations of the judgement on TODAY; 2. Whether mix-indexicality in WE-TWO is possible in (12a).

6. CONCLUSION

- Indexical shift in HKSL can occur in 'reported signing' both with and without RS.
- The indirect discourse in HKSL involves a type of embedded clause that has intermediate status between a quotation and a plain embedding, shown by the data involving SELF.
- Neither Demonstration Account nor Indexical Shift Operator Account suffices to fully explain the data of HKSL.

Anand, P., & Nevins, A. (2004). Shifty operators in changing contexts. Proceedings of SALT 14. | CSLDS (2018). Hong Kong Sign Language Corpus, www.cslds.org/hkslcorpus | Davidson, K. (2015). Quotation, demonstration, and iconicity. Linguistics and Philosophy, 38(6), 477–520. | Deal, A. R. (2020). A Theory of Indexical Shift-Meaning, Grammar, and Crosslinguistic Variation. The MIT Press. | Herrmann, A., & Steinbach, M. (2012). Quotatives: Cross-linguistic and Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives (pp. 203–228). John Benjamins Publishing Company. | Huang, C.-T. J., Li, Y. A., & Li, Y. (2009). The Syntax of Chinese. Cambridge University Press. | Koulidobrova, H., & Davidson, K. (2015). Watch the attitude: Embedding and role-shift in ASL. Proceedings of Sinn Und Bedeutung, 19, 358–376. | Lillo-Martin, D. (1986). Two kinds of null arguments in American Sign Language. Natural Language & Linguistic Theory, 4(4), 415-444. JSTOR. | Park, Y. (2014). Indexicals and the long-distance reflexive caki in Korean. GLOW 37, KU Leuven HUBrussel. | Quer, J. (2011). Reporting and quoting in signed discourse. In E. Brendel, J. Meibauer, & M. Steinbach (Eds.), Understanding Quotation. De Gruyter Mouton. | Schlenker, P. (2017a). Super monsters I: Attitude and action role shift in sign language. Semantics and Pragmatics, 10(9). | Schlenker, P. (2017b). Super monsters II: Role Shift, iconicity and quotation in sign language. Semantics and Pragmatics, 10(0), 12. | Zucchi, S. (2004). Monsters in the visual mode? [Ms., Milan, Università degli Studi di Milano].